

**From:** Sullivan, Robert G.  
**Sent:** Monday, July 10, 2006 3:50 PM  
**To:** Corridor EIS Archives  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on the Preliminary Draft Map(s) of Potential Energy Corridors for the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) developed in conjunction with the Corridor Study required by Section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

**Attachments:** WestwideEnergyCorridor071006.doc



WestwideEnergyCo  
ridor071006.d...

Bob S.  
630-252-6182

-----Original Message-----

From: Souder, Julia [mailto:Julia.Souder@hq.doe.gov]  
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2006 3:41 PM  
To: Krummel, John R.; Sullivan, Robert G.  
Subject: FW: Comments on the Preliminary Draft Map(s) of Potential Energy Corridors for the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) developed in conjunction with the Corridor Study required by Section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

Please add to your comments. I have over 50 faxes now- lovely.

=====  
Julia A. Souder  
Western Regional Coordinator

Department of Energy  
Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability 1000 Independence Ave SW 1E-256  
Washington, DC 20585  
p: 202-586-5461  
f: 202-586-1472

-----Original Message-----

From: RSLynch [mailto:rslynch@rslynchaty.com]  
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2006 4:31 PM  
To: Souder, Julia  
Subject: Comments on the Preliminary Draft Map(s) of Potential Energy Corridors for the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) developed in conjunction with the Corridor Study required by Section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

Please see attached letter.

Robert S. Lynch, Esq.  
Robert S. Lynch & Associates  
340 E. Palm Lane, Suite 140

Phoenix, AZ 85004-4603

Phone: 602-254-5908

Fax: 602-257-9542

E-mail: [rslynch@rslynchaty.com](mailto:rslynch@rslynchaty.com)

Robert S. Lynch & Associates  
Attorneys at Law

Robert S. Lynch \*  
Paul M. Li

340 E. Palm Lane  
Suite 140  
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4603  
Office: (602) 254-5908  
Facsimile: (602) 257-9542  
Email: [rslynch@rslynchaty.com](mailto:rslynch@rslynchaty.com)

Government Relations Associate \*\*  
Hon. Joe Lane

\* Admitted to practice in Arizona and  
the District of Columbia

\*\* not a member of the bar

E-MAILED AND TELECOPIED

July 10, 2006

Ms. Julia Souder, Western Regional Coordinator  
Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability  
Room 8H-033  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Avenue, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Re: Comments on the Preliminary Draft Map(s) of Potential Energy Corridors for the  
Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) developed in conjunction with the  
Corridor Study required by Section 368 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

Dear Ms. Souder:

We have previously commented on this proposal last November. The tracking number for that comment is 80048. We appreciate being kept informed of the progress of this Study and the development of the Programmatic EIS.

We are writing for two reasons: First, we wish to support the comments being submitted by the Southwest Area Transmission Group (SWAT). We wish to emphasize that this mapping project should include all existing corridors currently in use and all existing corridors designated by federal agencies and/or state utility commissions through their respective state siting processes. You can't know what you need if you don't know what you have. Second, we wish to emphasize the point that any corridor designation by the agencies involved in this process should show the entire corridor, if used, that would be necessary in order for the corridor designation in this Study to make sense. This may involve showing corridors that are not within the purview of the action agencies or within the direct scope of evaluation under this Environmental Impact Statement. But designating partial corridors that don't connect to transmission nodes, regardless of the energy source, make no sense. Moreover, in this EIS, the agencies are required to assess the cumulative impact of their proposed actions. Designation of partial corridors does not do that. Nor does it inform the public nor those potentially impacted about the true consequences of any of these proposals.

Ms. Julie Souder  
July 10, 2006  
Page 2

We have to have the energy. We have to have the transmission. We also have to have an environmental impact statement process that can withstand attack because it is properly inclusive not only of the actions the agencies can take but the consequences of those actions that logically fall from them.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important undertaking.

Sincerely,  
ROBERT S. LYNCH & ASSOCIATES

/s/

Robert S. Lynch

RSL:psr

cc: Robert E. Kondziolka, Salt River Project  
IEDA Presidents/Chairmen and Managers