

From: corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov
To: [Corridoreisarchives;](#)
CC:
Subject: Energy Corridor Programmatic EIS Comment 80016
Date: Monday, November 21, 2005 9:03:44 PM
Attachments:

Thank you for your comment, .

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is 80016. Please refer to the tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: November 21, 2005 09:03:29PM CDT

Energy Corridor Programmatic EIS Scoping Comment: 80016

First Name:

Last Name:

Address:

City:

State: ID

Zip:

Country: USA

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:

I believe that we should avoid locating new energy corridors in Idaho. I ask that you consider the following when assessing the corridor alternatives for southern Idaho:

- Any new corridor should avoid the Snake River, its banks, its wetlands, its floodplains, and the rim at all costs. Corridors should be set back at least three miles from the Snake River to avoid impacting the river habitat, particularly the waterfowl and raptors.
- Any corridor should not impact the historic routes of the Oregon and California trails through Idaho as well as the many archeological sites along the river.
- Any corridor needs to seriously consider visual impacts. The Snake River is not only the life blood of southern Idaho, it also provides most of the scenic vistas and recreation.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at:
corridoreiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Energy Corridor Programmatic EIS
Webmaster at (630)252-6182.