

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2005

OR01- OR06

2:00 p.m.

Location: The Holiday Inn, Downtown Portland

1441 N.E. Second Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

14:21:48

1 wildlife management.

2 Thank you for the opportunity today, and
3 that's all I have.

14:21:57

4 MS. SOUDER: Thank you. Is there anyone
5 else that would like to come up and give oral
6 comments?

7 MR. KUEHNE: Yes.

8 MS. SOUDER: Just please state your name.

14:22:15

9 MR. KUEHNE: Hi, my name is Brian Kuehne.
10 I am also with Portland General Electric. I
11 manage the Integrated Resource Planning for
12 that company.

14:22:28

13 PGE has contracts with Bonneville Power
14 Administration for the majority of its
15 transmission requirements. We also own
16 transmission lines for the delivery of
17 electricity to our service territory. We thank
18 you for this effort you're undertaking to
19 assess the energy corridors in the western
20 states.

14:22:44

21 In the west, electric transmission can
22 cross multiple states, as well as a number of
23 public lands that are under different federal
24 jurisdictions. However, unlike gas pipelines,
14:22:56 25 the siting authority for interstate electric

OR05

14:22:59

1 transmission still resides with individual
2 states. Hence, the permitting process can add
3 substantial time. Large transmission projects
4 can take as long as ten years to implement,

14:23:10

5 exceeding the time required to site and
6 construct most power plants.

7 The bulk power grid in the Pacific
8 Northwest has become congested over time.
9 Little new transmission capacity has been added

14:23:23

10 and the demands continue to increase. Several
11 electrical flowgates or points of managed
12 congestion have reached their respective limits
13 and have little or no available transfer
14 capacity. These flowgates exist throughout the

14:23:39

15 Pacific Northwest grid and a given flowgate
16 typically involves the electric facilities in
17 more than one corridor. For PGE, the
18 constraints hamper our ability to move out of
19 new resources mostly located east of the

14:23:51

20 Cascade Mountain Range to our customers.
21 Renewable resources, primarily wind, have great
22 potential in Eastern Oregon and Washington.

23 Coal for both conventional and the newer
24 clean-coal or gasification technologies lie
25 primarily east of the Rockies, and this must be

14:24:07

14:24:10

1 moved either by wire or by rail. For these
2 resources to reach PGE and other load centers
3 in the Pacific Northwest, both the existing and
4 new transmission corridors will have to be

14:24:23

5 utilized. This need was reinforced recently in
6 PGEs most recent request for proposals when we
7 received 111 proposals from 43 different
8 counterparties, but the output of comparatively
9 few of these could be brought to Portland.

14:24:39

10 Corridor utilization will have to be
11 increased to meet the increasing demand for
12 power. Increasing environmental regulations
13 over the past few decades have made existing
14 corridors nearly the only viable option to

14:24:53

15 expand capacity. However, utilization of
16 existing corridors does have practical limits.
17 The highest operating voltage in the western
18 states is 500 kV. There are still
19 opportunities to convert lines of lower voltage

14:25:07

20 to higher voltage. Adding new circuits in
21 existing corridors is another practical
22 expansion opportunity, and in some cases the
23 only viable option.

14:25:20

24 Typical rights-of-way for high voltage
25 transmission are 150 to 200 feet. Well

14:25:24 1 utilized corridors can then be in the order of
2 800 feet or more and contain combinations of
3 different voltages and multiple-circuit
4 structures.

14:25:33 5 However, from an electric system
6 reliability perspective, placing too much
7 dependance on any given corridor can have
8 unacceptable system reliability consequences.
9 Loss of corridors is a very low probability

14:25:46 10 event, but history shows that it does happen,
11 typically due to theft, fire, or
12 weather-related hazards. Therefore, corridor
13 diversity can be crucial. The health of the
14 electric system will, in some cases, be

14:25:59 15 dependent on spreading the power demand among
16 several highly utilized corridors. As a
17 practical matter, upgrading the existing
18 corridors can be difficult because of the
19 possible need to temporarily take the existing

14:26:12 20 infrastructure out of service. Without spare
21 capacity in the system or more timely upgrades
22 being constructed, the market impact can be
23 potentially severe. Thus the corridor
24 initiative needs to have a long-term

14:26:26 25 perspective and identify new alternative

14:26:30

1 corridors for existing paths that are already
2 pushing reliability limits.

3 We at PGE have just begun a new round of
4 analysis for our next integrated resource plan.

14:26:40

5 We believe that we will require new electric
6 transmission capacity across the Cascade
7 Mountains in a five to ten year time frame.

8 The entire cross-Cascades transmission system
9 is nearing its capacity to serve peak winter

14:26:55

10 power needs. In addition, historical, seasonal
11 peaking diversity between California and the
12 Pacific Northwest is diminishing due to more
13 air conditioning load in the Pacific Northwest
14 which moves us closer to a dual peaking, as is

14:27:14

15 the case with other utilities in the Pacific
16 Northwest.

17 Procuring new, firm transmission capacity
18 to PGE's load center is unlikely without
19 significant transmission infrastructure

14:27:25

20 additions. PGE has -- also has a significant
21 corridor across the Cascades, which is not
22 displayed on the initial map, entitled,
23 Examples of Possible Energy Corridors. We will
24 submit more detailed information identifying

14:27:39

25 this and other proposed -- or existing or

14:27:43

1 potential corridors which should be considered
2 in this process. And with that, we wish to
3 thank the agencies once again for this
4 opportunity to participate in the scoping
5 process. Thank you.

14:27:53

OR06

6 MS. SOUDER: I saw a hand go up. Thanks.

7 MR. THORTON: Thank you for the
8 opportunity. My name is Jim Thorton. I am
9 with -- senior consultant with the consulting
10 firm of College (sic) Environment. But I am
11 here today to speak privately and as a former
12 Washington State director of the Rails to
13 Trails Conservancy. And I would urge you to
14 look at abandoned railroad right-of-ways.

14:28:11

15 There are ways that you can use those, if they
16 haven't been divided up. But I think that
17 there are corridors all over the western United
18 States, and especially on federal lands, that
19 you should look at as potential right-of-ways
20 for pipelines and transmission lines. And
21 that's all I have to say today, but I really
22 appreciate the opportunity.

14:28:29

14:28:43

23 MS. SOUDER: Thank you very much. I
24 noticed there were a couple more people that
25 came into the room. If you would like to come

14:28:54